

544, DJ, suppressions, legal file, CDS7
Copies to Hoch and Schoener only

10/25/69

How I missed this the first time I went over CDS7, I'll never know, unless it was so very early I didn't realize the significance of the address. However, we here have the answer we have wanted without drawing conclusions and completely, I believe, puncturing the DJ posture of "investigative file" or any other excuse for withholding the pamphlet Quigley got 8/9/63.

The copy of the Gerrets-Rice report dated 12/4/63 is so unclear in this file (Folder 3), on most pages the control number cannot be made out. The synopsis indicates more than the Lamont pamphlet bore the 544 address: "other such literature bore the rubber stamp impression 'F P C C 544 Camp St., New Orleans, La.'"

Page 2: "Lt. Dyer also advised that a small booklet which had been seized from Oswald and which bore the title 'The Crime Against Cuba' bore the following rubber stamp impression: 'F P C C 544 Camp St.', etc

(Note also LHO gave the FO the wrong Murrett address, by one number, rather typical.)

(Note L.O. police had "Oswald's FBI number", that is, "327 925-D", page 3.)

Page 4: "Lt. Dyer stated that David William Ferrie, whose name came up during the course of this investigation at New Orleans and on whom a separate report will be submitted, is the owner of an airplane...." Now I do not recall this as one of the released Ferrie documents. Please correct me if I am wrong. Therefore, we have an added reason for the suppression, on both scores.

At the bottom of this page also the pamphlet bore the 544 address. The pretense of an investigation of this address, that undoubtedly was well-known to the FBI and should have been to the SS, is presented this way: Every effort is being made to determine whether the FAIR PLAY FOR CUBA COMMITTEE ever occupied space in the building located at this address." They knew. And they knew who did.

To both, this is so indistinct copying is not satisfactory. I suggest one of you write for a copy of Control 449 from a different file. It must be filed elsewhere. This is a remote generation. I cannot find my list of Ferrie documents, classified and released. Perhaps it is in the copy for C in NO. I'd appreciate a copy of this if either of you has it and a copy of the suppressed document, I think from 75, I sent both of you after finding it in Tom's transcription in N.O. That is one of the things we are to sue for. I'd like to include this. I hope that regardless of the status of his own efforts, Paul will agree to this, for getting this kind of thing before a court, where witnesses can be examined, I think is very important. If you agree, please update me or give me a new set of what you have so I can give it to Bud. Then I will merely make my general request to Vinson last year more specific in renewing it to the new AG. We've got them over a barrel on this now.

I urge you to keep this entirely to yourselves, for there is no one else working on this, no one else for whom the knowledge represents any value, and I want to surprise them.